

behavioral research & teaching

# Abstract

In a response to intervention (RTI) model, educators in both general and special education use curriculum-based measurement (CBM) oral reading fluency (ORF) assessments across grades to monitor progress of students receiving reading intervention.

Researchers have explored average growth in ORF and found decelerating, nonlinear growth rates across grade-levels (e.g., Christ et al., 2010; Nese et al., 2012; Nese et al., 2013).

In this study, we examine growth for those students in Grades 1-8 specifically identified for reading intervention and receiving regular progress monitoring, and explore two growth models to determine (a) the within-year ORF growth, and (b) the growth across Tier II/III intervention.

## Method

We conducted two latent growth models for each grade using Mplus version 7.11 (Muthén & Muthén, 1998-2013):

- (a) Within-year growth, where the time metric was the calendar year, such that the intercept was either late September or early October;
- (b) **Tier II/III growth**, where the time metric was the assessment occasion, such that the intercept was the first assessment occasion.

	<b>Selection Rules for</b>								
<b>ORF Progress Monitoring Sample</b>									
Step	Rules	Students	Scores						
	Original data	139,165	495,174						
1)	Delete out of range scores (e.g., $< 0$ and $> 365$ )	-	495,112						
2)	Delete students in grades other than 1-8	137,848	-						
3)	Delete students with any instance of off-grade-level testing Delete students who scored $> 30$ th percentile on first	129,617	-						
4)	benchmark or progress monitoring assessment	35,923	-						
5)	Delete scores from assessments $> 20$ th testing occasion	_	145,478						
·	Delete "invalid" scores	-	-						
	If two scores are less than two weeks (14 days) apart AND are								
	different by $> 35$ WCPM, delete the <u>score</u> that is least like adjacent scores.		57,787						
	Based on the following rule of growth:								
	[(Expected growth per week+ $SE_g$ ) * 2 weeks)] + (SEM * 2 weeks)	-	-						
	$[(1.5\text{wcpm}+1.0SE_g) * 2] + (15*2)$	-	-						
	5 + 30	-	-						
	Take the median of scores that are within 7 days keep scores								
6)	that are $>7$ and $<28$ days of each other, and delete scores that $>$		34,550						
	28 days apart.								
7)	Delete students with $< 3$ progress monitoring tests	5,373	30,628						



# Describing the Reading Fluency Growth NCAASE National Center on Assessment and Accountability for Special Education of Progress Monitored Students

Joseph F. T. Nese, Julie Alonzo, Gerald Tindal National Center on Assessment and Accountability for Special Education, 2013

Grade		Parameters			Correlation Within-model			
(n)	Model	Intercept	Linear	Quadratic	I-L	I-Q	L-Q	]
1	Within-year	2.38**	0.42**	0.01**	.52	.76	01	
(540)	Tier II/III	8.22**	1.16**	-0.01*	.66**	42	79**	
2	Within-year	24.50**	1.22**	0.00	.34**	15**	89**	
1170)	Tier II/III	28.03**	1.55**	-0.01**	.59**	54**	92**	
3	Within-year	44.06**	2.39**	-0.04**	.15**	11*	97**	
1180)	Tier II/III	48.70**	2.44**	-0.04**	.42**	43**	96**	
4	Within-year	69.36**	1.50**	-0.02**	.36**	28**	97**	
1165)	Tier II/III	71.17**	1.63**	-0.02**	.47**	42**	97**	
5	Within-year	96.68**	0.82**	0.00	.56**	53**	72**	
(941)	Tier II/III	96.61**	1.26**	-0.02**	.29*	24*	91**	
6	Within-year	92.09**	0.68**	-0.01	.35	28	91**	
(197)	Tier II/III	94.67**	0.57**	0.00	.13	.01	93**	
7	Within-year	109.99**	0.25	0.01	.64**	64**	86**	
(107)	Tier II/III	110.30**	0.45*	0.00	.63**	71**	95**	
8	Within-year	114.44**	-0.50*	0.03**	.20	07	98**	
(72)	Tier II/III	114.37**	0.11	0.01	.40*	42	-1.00**	



## **Funding Source**

This project was funded through the National Center on Assessment and Accountability for Special Education (NCAASE) grant (R324C110004) from the U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences. Opinions expressed do not necessarily reflect the opinions or policies of the U.S. Department of Education.



# **For More Information**

Please contact Joe Nese: **jnese@uoregon.edu**. More information on this and related projects can be obtained at http://ncaase.com.

### Results

✤ Table 1: Trajectory fixed effect parameters (intercept and slopes) were similar across Within-year and Tier II/II growth models.

Figure 1: The first testing occasion for Tier II/II students was nearly always in the early fall for all grades but first (winter).

✤ Figure 2

• Decelerating **Tier II/II** growth in Grades 1-5. Linear **Tier II/II** growth in Grades 6-8. Growth of progress monitored students similar to that of easyCBM 20<sup>th</sup> percentile group, but with lower intercepts and greater yearly gains in most grades.

### Discussion

✤ Tier II/III interventions begin in the fall; less identification of at-risk students beyond fall.

Implications for winter/spring benchmarks in RTI system: Lost opportunities for educators and students for lack of RTI system training?

✤ As hypothesized, growth rates are lower than 50<sup>th</sup> percentile and average empirical trajectories (e.g., Nese et al., 2013), except for Grades 4 & 5.

In all grades but sixth, linear growth rates greater in magnitude for the Tier II/II metric than the **Within-year** metric.

Tenuous evidence for effective interventions.

Limitations

Selected sample very specific.

Intervention information (if any) unknown.

### References

Christ, T. J., Silberglitt, B., Yeo, S., & Cormier, D. (2010). Curriculum-based measurement of oral reading: An evaluation of growth rates and seasonal effects among students served in general and special education. *School* Psychology Review, 39, 447-462.

Muthén, L. K., & Muthén, B. O. (1998-2013). *Mplus user's guide* (7th ed.). Los Angeles, CA: Muthén & Muthén.

Nese, J. F. T., Biancarosa, G., Anderson, D., Lai, C. F., & Tindal, G. (2012). Within-year oral reading fluency with CBM: A comparison of models. *Reading and Writing, 25*, 887-915. DOI: 10.1007/s11145-011-9304-0 Nese, J. F. T., Biancarosa, G., Cummings, K., Kennedy, P., Alonzo, J., Tindal, G. (2013). In search of average growth: Describing within-year oral reading fluency growth for Grades 1-8. DOI: 10.1016/j.jsp.2013.05.006